6. VIENNA CONVENTION ON CONSULAR RELATIONS

Vienna, 24 April 1963

ENTRY INTO FORCE 19 March 1967, in accordance with article 77.

REGISTRATION: 8 June 1967, No. 8638. STATUS: Signatories: 48. Parties: 179.

TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 596, p. 261.

Note: The Convention was adopted on 22 April 1963 by the United Nations Conference on Consular Relations held at the Neue Hofburg in Vienna, Austria, from 4 March to 22 April 1963. The Conference also adopted the Optional Protocol concerning Acquisition of Nationality, the Optional Protocol concerning the Compulsory Settlement of Disputes, the Final Act and three resolutions annexed to that Act. The Convention and the two Protocols were deposited with the Secretary-General of the United Nations. The Final Act, by unanimous decision of the Conference, was deposited in the archives of the Federal Ministry for Foreign Affairs of Austria. For the proceedings of the Conference, see *United Nations Conference on Consular Relations, Official Records, vols. I and II* (United Nations publication, Sales Nos.: 63.X.2 and 64.X.1). The text of the Convention, two Protocols, Final Act and resolutions is published in vol. II.

Participant Signatu	ıre	Ratification, Accession(a), Succession(d)		Participant Signature		re	Ratification, Accession(a), Succession(d)	
Albania		4 Oct	1991 a	Cameroon	21 Aug	1963	22 May	1967
Algeria		14 Apr	1964 a	Canada			18 Jul	1974 a
Andorra		3 Jul	1996 a	Central African				
Angola		21 Nov	1990 a	Republic	-	1963		
Antigua and Barbuda		25 Oct	1988 d	Chile	_	1963	9 Jan	1968
Argentina24 Apr	1963	7 Mar	1967	China ^{2,3,4}			2 Jul	1979 a
Armenia		23 Jun	1993 a	Colombia	24 Apr	1963	6 Sep	1972
Australia31 Mar	1964	12 Feb	1973	Congo	24 Apr	1963		
Austria24 Apr	1963	12 Jun	1969	Costa Rica	6 Jun	1963	29 Dec	1966
Azerbaijan		13 Aug	1992 a	Côte d'Ivoire	24 Apr	1963		
Bahamas		17 Mar	1977 d	Croatia ¹			12 Oct	1992 d
Bahrain		17 Sep	1992 a	Cuba	24 Apr	1963	15 Oct	1965
Bangladesh		13 Jan	1978 d	Cyprus			14 Apr	1976 a
Barbados		11 May	1992 a	Czech Republic ⁵			22 Feb	1993 d
Belarus		21 Mar	1989 a	Democratic People's				
Belgium31 Mar	1964	9 Sep	1970	Republic of Korea.			8 Aug	1984 a
Belize		30 Nov	2000 a	Democratic Republic o		1062	1.5 T1	1076
Benin24 Apr	1963	27 Apr	1979	the Congo	-	1963	15 Jul	1976
Bhutan		28 Jul	1981 a	Denmark	-	1963	15 Nov	1972
Bolivia (Plurinational				Djibouti			2 Nov	1978 a
State of) 6 Aug	1963	22 Sep	1970	Dominica		1062	24 Nov	1987 d
Bosnia and				Dominican Republic	•	1963	4 Mar	1964
Herzegovina ¹		1 Sep	1993 d	Ecuador		1964	11 Mar	1965
Botswana		26 Mar	2008 a	Egypt			21 Jun	1965 a
Brazil24 Apr	1963	11 May		El Salvador			19 Jan	1973 a
Brunei Darussalam		24 May	2013 a	Equatorial Guinea			30 Aug	1976 a
Bulgaria		11 Jul	1989 a	Eritrea			14 Jan	1997 a
Burkina Faso24 Apr	1963	11 Aug	1964	Estonia			21 Oct	1991 a
Cabo Verde		30 Jul	1979 a	Fiji			28 Apr	1972 a
Cambodia		10 Mar	2006 a	Finland	28 Oct	1963	2 Jul	1980

Participant Signatus	re	Ratification, Accession(a), Succession(d)		Participant Si	ignature	Ratification, Accession(a), Succession(d)	
France24 Apr	1963	31 Dec	1970	Malaysia		1 Oct	1991 a
Gabon24 Apr	1963	23 Feb	1965	Maldives		21 Jan	1991 a
Gambia	1,00	28 Mar	2013 a	Mali		28 Mar	1968 a
Georgia		12 Jul	1993 a	Malta		10 Dec	1997 a
Germany ^{6,7} 31 Oct	1963	7 Sep	1971	Marshall Islands		9 Aug	1991 a
Ghana24 Apr	1963	4 Oct	1963	Mauritania		21 Jul	2000 a
Greece2 174pi	1703	14 Oct	1975 a	Mauritius		13 May	1970 a
Grenada		2 Sep	1992 a	Mexico	7 Oct 1963	16 Jun	1965
Guatemala		9 Feb	1973 a	Micronesia (Federated	, 000 1905	10 3411	1705
Guinea		30 Jun	1988 a	States of)		29 Apr	1991 a
Guyana		13 Sep	1973 a	Monaco		4 Oct	2005 a
Haiti		2 Feb	1978 a	Mongolia		14 Mar	1989 a
Holy See24 Apr	1963	8 Oct	1970	Montenegro ⁸		23 Oct	2006 d
Honduras	1703	13 Feb	1968 a	Morocco		23 Feb	1977 a
Hungary		19 Jun	1987 a	Mozambique		18 Apr	1983 a
Iceland		1 Jun	1978 a	Myanmar		2 Jan	1997 a
India		28 Nov	1977 a	Namibia		14 Sep	1992 a
Indonesia		4 Jun	1977 a	Nauru		14 Dec	2012 a
Iran (Islamic Republic		4 Juli	1902 a	Nepal		28 Sep	1965 a
of)24 Apr	1963	5 Jun	1975	Netherlands ⁹		17 Dec	1985 a
Iraq		14 Jan	1970 a	New Zealand ¹⁰		10 Sep	1974 a
Ireland24 Apr	1963	10 May		Nicaragua		31 Oct	1975 a
Israel25 Feb	1964		-, ,	Niger24	4 Apr 1963	26 Apr	1966
Italy22 Nov	1963	25 Jun	1969	Nigeria	r	22 Jan	1968 a
Jamaica	-,	9 Feb	1976 a	Norway24	4 Apr 1963	13 Feb	1980
Japan		3 Oct	1983 a	Oman		31 May	1974 a
Jordan		7 Mar	1973 a	Pakistan		14 Apr	1969 a
Kazakhstan		5 Jan	1994 a	Panama	4 Dec 1963	28 Aug	1967
Kenya		1 Jul	1965 a	Papua New Guinea	. 200	4 Dec	1975 d
Kiribati		2 Apr	1982 d	Paraguay		23 Dec	1969 a
Kuwait10 Jan	1964	31 Jul	1975	Peru24	4 Apr 1963	17 Feb	1978
Kyrgyzstan	170.	7 Oct	1994 a	Philippines24	•	15 Nov	1965
Lao People's		7 000	17714	Poland20	=	13 Oct	1981
Democratic				Portugal ⁴	0 1 /1 ai 1501	13 Sep	1972 a
Republic		9 Aug	1973 a	Qatar		4 Nov	1998 a
Latvia		13 Feb	1992 a	Republic of Korea		7 Mar	1977 a
Lebanon24 Apr	1963	20 Mar	1975	Republic of Moldova		26 Jan	1993 a
Lesotho		26 Jul	1972 a	Romania		20 Jan 24 Feb	1972 a
Liberia24 Apr	1963	28 Aug	1984	Russian Federation		15 Mar	1972 a 1989 a
Libya		4 Sep	1998 a	Rwanda		31 May	1974 a
Liechtenstein24 Apr	1963	18 May	1966	Samoa		26 Oct	1974 a 1987 a
Lithuania		15 Jan	1992 a				1987 a 1983 a
Luxembourg24 Mar	1964	8 Mar	1972	Sao Tome and Principe Saudi Arabia		3 May 29 Jun	1983 a 1988 a
Madagascar		17 Feb	1967 a	Senegal		29 Jun 29 Apr	1988 a 1966 a
Malawi		29 Apr	1980 a	ochegal		29 Api	1700 a

Participant	Signature	Ratification, Accession(a), Succession(d)		Participant Signature		Accessio	Ratification, Accession(a), Succession(d)	
Serbia ¹		12 Mar	2001 d	Timor-Leste		30 Jan	2004 a	
Seychelles	••	29 May	1979 a	Togo		26 Sep	1983 a	
Sierra Leone	••	9 May	2016 a	Tonga		14 Aug	1974 a	
Singapore		1 Apr	2005 a	Trinidad and Tobago		19 Oct	1965 a	
Slovakia ⁵	••	28 May	1993 d	Tunisia		8 Jul	1964 a	
Slovenia ¹		6 Jul	1992 d	Turkey		19 Feb	1976 a	
Somalia		29 Mar	1968 a	Turkmenistan		25 Sep	1996 a	
South Africa		21 Aug	1989 a	$Tuvalu^{12}$		15 Sep	1982 d	
Spain		3 Feb	1970 a	Ukraine		27 Apr	1989 a	
Sri Lanka		4 May	2006 a	United Arab Emirates		24 Feb	1977 a	
St. Kitts and Nevis		6 Jul	2010 a	United Kingdom of				
St. Lucia		27 Aug	1986 d	Great Britain and	27 Man 1067	1 0 Mass	1072	
St. Vincent and the				Northern Ireland ^{2,13} 2	27 Mar 1964	9 May	1972	
Grenadines		27 Apr	1999 d	United Republic of Tanzania		18 Apr	1977 a	
State of Palestine		2 Apr	2014 a	United States of		10 / i pi	17// 4	
Sudan	••	23 Mar	1995 a	America	24 Apr 1963	3 24 Nov	1969	
Suriname		11 Sep	1980 a	Uruguay2	_	3 10 Mar	1970	
Sweden		19 Mar	1974	Uzbekistan	-	2 Mar	1992 a	
Switzerland	23 Oct 1963	3 May	1965	Vanuatu		18 Aug	1987 a	
Syrian Arab Republic		13 Oct	1978 a	Venezuela (Bolivarian		2011		
Tajikistan		6 May	1996 a	Republic of) ¹⁴ 2	24 Apr 1963	3 27 Oct	1965	
Thailand		15 Apr	1999 a	Viet Nam ¹⁵		8 Sep	1992 a	
The former Yugoslav				Yemen ¹⁶		10 Apr	1986 a	
Republic of Macedonia ^{1,11}		10 100	1993 d	Zambia		18 May	2016 a	
iviaccuolila-,	••	10 Aug		Zimbabwe		13 May	1991 a	

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession or succession. For objections thereto see hereinafter.)

BAHRAIN

"The accession by the State of Bahrain to the said Convention shall in no way constitute recognition of Israel or be a cause for the establishment of any relations of any kind therewith."

BARBADOS

"The Government of Barbados hereby declares that it will interpret the exemption accorded to members of a consular post by paragraph 3 of article 44 from liability to give evidence con- cerning matters connected with the exercise of their functions as relating only to Acts in respect of which consular officers and consular employees enjoy immunity from the jurisdiction of the juridical or administrative authorities of the receiving state in accordance with the provisions of article 43 of the Convention."

BELIZE

"The Government of Belize will interpret the exemption accorded to members of a consular post by paragraph 3 of Article 44 from liability to give evidence concerning matters connected with the exercise of their functions as relating only to acts in respect of which consular officers and consular employees enjoy immunity from the jurisdiction of the judicial or administrative authorities of the receiving State in accordance with the provisions of Article 43 of the Convention. The Government of Belize further declares that it will interpret Chapter II of the Convention as applying to all career consular employees, including those employed at a consular post headed by an honorary consular officer."

BULGARIA

The People's Republic of Bulgaria considers that referring to the provisions of article 31, paragraph 2 of the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations the authorities of the receiving State may enter the consular premises in the event of fire or other disaster in the presence of a

representative of the sending State or after all appropriate steps have been taken to obtain the consent of the head of the consular post.

CUBA

The Revolutionary Government of Cuba makes an express reservation to the provisions of articles 74 and 76 of the Convention because it considers that, in view of the nature of the content and rules of the Convention, all free and sovereign States have the right to participate in it, and the Revolutionary Government is therefore in favour of facilitating accession by all countries in the international community, without distinction as to the territorial size of States, the number of their inhabitants or their social, economic or political systems.

CZECH REPUBLIC⁵

DENMARK

In respect of article 5 (j), consular posts established in Denmark by foreign States may not, except by virtue of a agreement, execute letters rogatory commissions to take evidence for the courts of the sending State, and may transmit judicial and extra-judicial

documents only in civil or commercial matters.

(1) "With reference to Article 22, the Government of Denmark expresses the wish that it may be possible to Denmark expresses the wish that it may be possible to maintain the practice existing between Denmark and a number of other countries to appoint honorary consular officers from among persons having the nationality of the receiving State or of a third State; the Government of Denmark further expresses the hope that States with which Denmark establishes consular relations will give their consent, pursuant to paragraphs 2 and 3 of Article 22, to the appointment of honorary consuls having the nationality of the receiving State or a third State.

(2) "With reference to Article 68, the Government of Denmark expresses its desire, in accordance with Danish

Denmark expresses its desire, in accordance with Danish practice, to continue appointing honorary consular officers and, on condition of reciprocity, its willingness to continue receiving honorary Denmark." consular officers in

EGYPT17,18

"2-"2- Paragraph 1 of article 46 concerning exemption from registration of aliens and residence permits shall not apply to consular employees.

Article 49 concerning exemption from taxation shall apply only to consular officers, their spouses and minor children. This exemption cannot be extended to consular employees and to members of the service staff.

Article 62 concerning exemption from custom duties and taxes on articles for the official use of a consular post headed by an honorary officer, shall not

Article 65 is not accepted. Honorary consular officers cannot be exempted from registration of

aliens and residence permits.

It is the understanding of the United Arab Republic that the privileges and immunities specified in this Convention are granted only to consular officers, their spouses and minor children and cannot be extended to other members of their families.

FIJ

"Fiji will interpret the exemption accorded to members of a consular post by paragraph 3 of Article 44 from liability to give evidence concerning matters connected with the exercise of their functions as relating only to acts in respect of which consu- lar officers and consular

employees enjoy immunity from the jurisdiction of the judicial or administrative authorities of the receiving State in accordance with the provisions of article 43 of the Convention.'

FINLAND

"With regard to article 35, paragraph 1, and article 58, paragraph 1, Finland does not accord to consular posts headed by honorary consular officers the right to employ diplomatic or consular couriers and diplomatic or consular bags, or to governments, diplomatic missions and other consular posts the right to employ these means in communicating with consular posts headed by honorary consular officers, except to the extent that Finland may have consented thereto in particular cases."

"With reference to article 22 of the Convention, the Finnish Government expressed the wish that in countries where it has been an established practice to allow nationals of the receiving State or of a third State to be appointed as Finnish honorary consuls, this practice will continue to be allowed as before. The Finnish Government also expresses the hope that countries with which Finland establishes new consular relations will follow a similar practice and will give their consent to such appointments pursuant to paragraphs 2 and 3 of

"With reference to article 49, paragraph 1 b, the Finnish Government wishes to add that, according to established practice, exemption cannot be granted in respect of dues or taxes levied on certain private movable property, such as shares or stock or other form of partnership in condominium or housing corporation entitling the holder of such movable property to possess and control immovable property situated in the territory of Finland and owned or otherwise legally possessed by the

said condominium or housing corporation.

GERMANY^{6,7}

"The Federal Republic of Germany interprets the provisions of Chapter II of the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations, done on 24 April 1963, as applying to all career consular personnel (consular officers, consular employees and members of the service staff), including those assigned to a consular post headed by an honorary consular officer, and that it will apply the said provisions accordingly.'

ICELAND

With reference to article 22 of the Convention, the Icelandic Government expresses the wish that in countries where it has been an established practice to allow nationals of the receiving State or of a third State to be appointed as Icelandic honorary consuls, this will continue to be allowed as before. The Icelandic Government also expresses the hope that countries with which Iceland establishes new consular relations will follow a similar practice and will give their consent to such appointments pursuant to paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 22.

IRAQ¹⁸

The accession of the Republic of Iraq to this Convention shall in no way constitute recognition of the Member of the United Nations called Israel or imply any obligation toward or relation with the said Member.

ITALY

With reference to the provision contained in article 36, paragraph 1 (c), of the Convention on Consular Relations, the Italian Government considers that the right of a consular official to visit nationals of his State who are for any reason held in custody and to act on their behalf may not be waived, inasmuch as it is embodied in general law. The Italian Government will therefore act on the basis of reciprocity.

KUWAIT

It is understood that the ratification of this Convention does not mean in any way recognition of Israel by the Government of the State of Kuwait. Furthermore, no treaty relations will arise between the State of Kuwait and

LESOTHO

"The Kingdom of Lesotho will interpret the exemption accorded to members of a consular post by paragraph 3 of article 44 from liability to give evidence concerning matters connected with the exercise of their functions or produce official correspondence and documents relating thereto as not extending to matters, correspondence or documents connected with the administration of the estate of a deceased person in respect of which a grant of representation has been made to a member of a consular post.

MALTA

"1. Article 5 (j)
The Government of Malta declares that consular posts established in Malta may not execute letters rogatory or commissions to take evidence for the courts of the sending State or transmit judicial or extra-judicial documents.

2. Article 44 paragraph 3
Malta will interpret the exemption accorded to members of a consular post by paragraph 3 of article 44 from liability to give evidence concerning matters connected with the exercise of their functions as relating only to acts in respect of which consular officers and consular employees enjoy immunity from the jurisdiction of judicial or administrative authorities of the receiving State in accordance with article 43 of the Convention.

MEXICO

Mexico does not accept that part of article 31, paragraph 4 of the Convention which refers to expropriation of consular premises. The main reason for this reservation is that that paragraph, by contemplating the possibility of expropriation of consular premises by the receiving State, presupposes that the sending State is the owner of the premises. That situation is precluded in the Mexican Republic by article 27 of the Political Constitution of the United Mexican States, according to which foreign States cannot acquire private title to immovable property unless it is situated at the permanent seat of Federal Power and necessary for the direct use of their embassies or legations.

MOROCCO¹⁹

Morocco's accession to the Convention on Consular Relations shall not in any way imply tacit recognition of "Israel"; nor shall any conventional relations be established between the Kingdom of Morocco and

Article 62, concerning the exemption from customs duties on articles for the use of a consular post headed by an honorary consular officer, shall not apply.

Article 65 shall not apply, since honorary consular officers cannot be exempted from obligations in regard to the registration of aliens and residence permits.

MOZAMBIQUE

"As regards articles 74 and 76, the People's Republic of Mozambique considers that these provisions are with the principle that multilateral international instruments whose purpose and subject matters are of interest to the International Community as a whole should be open for universal participation.

It also considers that the said articles are contrary to the principle of sovereign equality of states and deprive sovereign states from their legitimate right to participate

MYANMAR

"With regard to article 35, paragraph 1 and article 58, paragraph 1, concerning the freedom of communication, the Government of the Union of Myanmar shall not accord to consular posts headed by honorary consular officers the right to employ diplomatic or consular couriers and diplomatic or consular bags, or to governments, diplomatic missions and other consular governments, diplomatic missions and other consular posts the right to employ these means in communicating with consular posts headed by honorary consular officers, except to the extent that the Union of Myanmar may have

consented thereto in particular cases.

Furthermore, with regard to facilities, privileges and immunities as provided by article 58, paragraph 2, the Government of the Union of Myanmar shall not accord exemption from registration of aliens and residence permits to consular posts headed by honorary consular

With regard to article 62, the Government of the Union of Myanmar shall not accord to consular posts headed by honorary consular officers exemption from customs duties and taxes on articles for their official use except to the extent that the Union of Myanmar may have consented thereto on the merits of each case.'

NETHERLANDS

"The Kingdom of the Netherlands interprets chapter II of the Convention as applying to all career consular officers and employees, including those assigned to a consular post headed by a honorary consular officer."

NORWAY

"With reference to article 22 of the Convention, the Norwegian Government expresses the wish that in countries where it has been an established practice to allow nationals of the receiving State or of a third State to be appointed as Norwegian honorary consuls, this practice will continue to be allowed as before. The Norwegian Government also expresses the hope that countries with which Norway establishes new consular relations will follow a similar practice and will give their consent to such appointments pursuant to paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 22

OMAN

"The accession of this Convention does not mean in any way recognition of Israel by the Government of the Sultanate of Oman. Furthermore, no treaty relations will arise between the Sultanate of Oman and 'Israel'

OATAR²⁰

The Government of Qatar reserves the right to open the consular bag in the following cases:

Where it is evident that the consular bag (a) is being used for unlawful purposes that are incompatible with the objectives for which immunities with respect to the bag were codified. In such a case, the diplomatic mission concerned and its Ministry of Foreign Affairs

shall be notified, the bag shall be opened with the approval of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Qatar, and the items determined to be in the bag shall be confiscated in the presence of a representative of the mission to which

the bag belongs;

(b) Where the State of Qatar has strong reasons, supported by prima facie evidence, to believe that the consular bag has been used for unlawful purposes, the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Qatar may request the consular mission concerned to open the bag in order to ascertain its contents. It shall be opened in the presence of a representative of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs and one member of the mission to which the bag belongs. Should the mission refuse the request to open the bag, then the bag must be returned to its place of origin.

2. Article 36, paragraph 1:
The rights accorded in this article shall not extend to those consular employees who are engaged in administrative tasks or to the members of their families.

3. Article 49:

Local personnel employed by consulates shall not be exempt from the dues and taxes stipulated in this article

that are imposed by domestic laws.

4. Accession to the Convention shall under no circumstances imply recognition of Israel and shall not lead to any such dealings with it as are governed by the provisions of the Convention.

ROMANIA

The State Council of the Socialist Republic of Romania considers that the provisions of articles 74 and 76 of the Convention are incompatible with the principle that multilateral international treaties whose subject-matter and purposes are of interest to the international community as a whole should be open for universal accession.

SAUDI ARABIA¹⁸

1. Approval of this Convention in no way signifies recognition of Israel and shall not lead to entry with Israel into the relations governed by this Convention.

2. The transmission of the judicial and extrajudicial documents shall be confined to civil and commercial questions and shall in all other cases be effected only by a

special agreement.

3. The privileges and immunities provided for under the Convention are guaranteed only for consular staff and their spouses and minor children and shall not

extend to other members of their families.

4. The privileges and immunities set forth in chapter III concerning honorary consular officers and consular posts headed by such officers shall be confined to a consular post where the honorary consul is a Saudi Arabian citizen. Consular posts headed by honorary consuls shall not be entitled to use the consular means of correspondence and consular bags referred to in article 35 of the Convention. Governments or other diplomatic missions or consular posts may not use such means of correspondence in their communications with honorary consular posts save within the limits agreed upon in particular cases.

SLOVAKIA⁵

SWEDEN

With regard to article 35, paragraph 1, and article 58, paragraph 1, Sweden does not accord to consular posts headed by honorary consular officers the right to employ diplomatic or consular couriers and diplomatic or consular bags, or to Governments, diplomatic missions and other consular posts the right to employ these means in communicating with consular posts headed by

honorary consular officers, except to the extent that Sweden may have consented thereto in particular cases.

"With reference to article 22 of the Convention, the Swedish Government expresses the wish that in countries where it has been an established practice to allow nationals of the receiving State or of a third State to be appointed as Swedish honorary consuls, this will continue to be allowed as before. The Swedish Government also expresses the hope that countries with which Sweden establishes new consular relations will follow a similar practice and will give their consent to such appointments pursuant to paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 22."

SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC¹⁸

(a) Accession of the Syrian Arab Republic to the said Convention and ratification thereof by its Government does not, in any way, imply recognition of Israel, nor shall they lead to any such dealings with the latter as are governed by the provisions of the Convention;

(b) The Syrian Arab Republic shall be under no obligation to apply article 49 of the Convention to local personnel employed by consulates or to exempt them

from dues and taxes.

THAILAND

"The Government of the Kingdom of Thailand declares that the term 'competent judicial authority' under article 41 (1) of the Convention means all competent officials under Thai criminal procedure."

UNITED ARAB EMIRATES¹⁸

"The accession of the United Arab Emirates to this Convention shall in no way amount to recognition of nor the establishment of any treaty relation with Israel."

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND

"The United Kingdom will interpret the exemption accorded to members of a consular post by paragraph 3 of article 44 from liability to give evidence concerning matters connected with the exercise of their functions as relating only to acts in respect of which consular officers and consular employees enjoy immunity from the jurisdiction of the judicial or administrative authorities of the receiving State in accordance with the provisions of article 43 of the Convention."

"The United Kingdom hereby confirms its declaration in respect of paragraph 3 of article 44 of the Convention made at the time of signature, and further declares that it will interpret Chapter II of the Convention as applying to all career consular employees, including those employed at a consular post headed by an honorary consular

officer."

VIET NAM

The Socialist Republic of Viet Nam shall not accord to the consular posts headed by the honorary consular officers the right to employ diplomatic, consular couriers, diplomatic and consular bags or messages in code or cipher; or to other governments, their diplomatic missions or consular posts headed by the honorary consular officers, unless the Government of the Socialist Re public of Vietnam may give express consent thereto in a particular case.

YEMEN^{16,18}

1. The accession of the Yemen Arab Republic to the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations, done at

Vienna on 24 April 1963, in no way implies recognition of Israel and shall not entail the entry of the Yemen Arab Republic with Israel into any of the relations governed by

this Convention.

The Yemen Arab Republic understands the words "members of their families forming part of their households" in article 46, paragraph 1, and article 49 as being restricted to members of the consular posts and their wives and minor children for the purpose of the privileges and immunities enjoyed by them.

3. Where there are serious and strong grounds for believing that the consular bag contains articles or substances not mentioned in article 35, paragraph 4, of the

Convention, the Yemen Arab Republic reserves its right to request that the bag be opened in the presence of a representative of the consular mission concerned. If the consulate refuses to comply with this request, the bag shall be returned to its place of origin.

The Yemen Arab Republic shall have the right to in-spect foodstuffs imported by consular representatives in order to ascertain that they conform in quantity and in kind to the list submitted by them to the customs authorities and the Office of Protocol at the Ministry of Foreign Affairs for the purpose of obtaining approval for their importation exempt from customs duties.

Objections (Unless otherwise indicated, the objections were made upon ratification, accession or succession.)

DENMARK

"The Government of Denmark objects to the reservations made by the Arab Republic of Egypt to paragraph 1 of article 46 and to articles 49, 62 and 65 of the Convention and to the reservation made by Italy to paragraph 1(c) of article 36 of the Convention."

FRANCE

The Government of the French Republic does not regard as valid the reservations to articles 46, 49, 62 and 65 of the Convention made by the Government of the United Arab Republic. This declaration shall not be regarded as an obstacle to the entry into force of the Convention between the French Republic and the United Arab Republic.

GERMANY⁷

"The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany does not regard as valid the reservations to articles 46, 49 62 and 65 of the Convention made by the Government of the United Arab Republic.

This declaration shall not be regarded as an obstacle to the entry into force of the Convention between the Federal Republic of Germany and the United Arab

Republic.'

The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany regards the reservations made by the Kingdom of Morocco in respect of articles 62 and 65 of the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations of 24 April 1963 as incompatible with the purpose and objective of the Convention.

This declaration shall, however, not be regarded as an obstacle to the entry into force of the Convention between the Federal Republic of Germany and the Kingdom of

ISRAEL

"The instrument of accession by the Government of Qatar to the [...] Convention contains a statement of a political character in respect of Israel. In the view of the Government of Israel, this is not the proper place for making such political pronouncements. That declaration cannot in any way affect the obligations of Qatar already existing under general International Law and under this particular Convention. The Government of Israel will, in so far as concerns the substance of the matter, adopt towards Qatar an attitude of complete reciprocity.

LUXEMBOURG

The Government of Luxembourg is not in a position to accept the reservations formulated by the Government of Cuba regarding articles 74 and 76 of the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations, done on 24 April

NETHERLANDS²¹

The Kingdom of the Netherlands does not regard as valid the reservations to the articles 46, 49 and 62 of the Convention made by the United Arab Republic. This declaration should not be regarded as an obstacle to the entry into force of the Convention between the Kingdom of the Netherlands and the United Arab Republic.

The Kingdom of the Netherlands does not regard as valid the reservation to article 62 of the Convention made by the Kingdom of Morocco. This declaration should not be regarded as an obstacle to the entry into force of the Convention between the Kingdom of the

Netherlands and the Kingdom of Morocco.

The Kingdom of the Netherlands accepts the reservation made by the Yemen Arab Republic concerning the articles 46, paragraph 1, and 49 of the Convention only in so far as it does not purport to exclude the husbands of female members of the consular posts from enjoying the same privileges and immunities under the present Convention.

The Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands considers the declaration with regard to article 62 of [the said Convention] made by the Government of Myanmar as a reservation and does not regard this reservation as valid. This objection shall not preclude the entry into force of the Convention between the Kingdom of the Netherlands and the Union of Myanmar.'

SWEDEN

"The Government of Sweden Notes that the reservations concerning article 35, paragraph 3, goes beyond the rights of the receiving State not only in relation to the Convention, but also according to customary international law.

In the opinion of the Government of Sweden, the protection of the consular bag constitutes an important element of the Convention and any reservation intended to allow a receiving State to open the consular bag without the approval of the sending State, or alter the use of terms codified through the Convention, is a serious qualification of the freedom of communication regime.

The Government of Sweden therefore objects to the reservations to article 35, paragraph 3, of the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations made by the

Government of Qatar.

This objection shall not preclude the entry into force of the Convention between Sweden and Qatar. Furthermore, the Government of Sweden takes the view that article 35, paragraph 3, remains in force in relations between Sweden and Qatar by virtue of international customary law."

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

"The Government of the United States wishes to state its objection to the reservation regarding the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations made with respect to paragraph 3 of article 35 by the Yemen Arab Republic.

The Government of the United States Notes that the reservation made with respect to paragraph I of Article 46 and Article 49 of the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations by the Yemen Arab Republic states that the Yemen Arab Republic understands the term "members of their families forming part of their households" in

paragraph l of Article 46 and Article 49 as being restricted to members of the consular posts and, *inter alia*, their wives for the purpose of the privileges and immunities enjoyed by them. The United States understands this term to include members of the consular posts and their spouses, regardless of whether the spouse is a husband or wife. Accordingly, the Government of the United States wishes to state its objection if the Yemen Arab Republic does not include all spouses of the members of the consular posts as being within the meaning of the term "members of their families forming part of their households" in paragraph l of Article 46 and Article 49.

The Government of the United States, however, considers the [Convention] as continuing in force between it and the respective above-mentioned States except for the provisions to which the reservations are addressed in

each case."

Notes:

- ¹ The former Yugoslavia had signed and ratified the Convention on 24 April 1963 and 8 February 1965, respectively. See also note 1 under "Bosnia and Herzegovina", "Croatia", "former Yugoslavia", "Slovenia", "The Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia" and "Yugoslavia" in the "Historical Information" section in the front matter of this volume.
- ² See note 2 under "China" and note 2 under "United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland" regarding Hong Kong under in the "Historical Information" section in the front matter of this volume.
- ³ The Convention was signed on 24 April 1963 on behalf of the Republic of China. See also note 1 under "China" in the "Historical Information" section in the front matter of this volume.

Upon accession, the Government of China made the following declaration:

"The Taiwan authorities' signature on this Convention in the name of China is illegal and null and void."

- ⁴ See note 3 under "China" and note 1 under "Portugal" regarding Macao in the "Historical Information" section in the front matter of this volume.
- ⁵ Czechoslovakia had signed and ratified the Convention on 31 March 1964 and 13 March 1968, respectively, with a declaration. For the text of the declaration made upon signature, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 596, p. 429. See also note 1 under "Czech Republic" and note 1 under "Slovakia" in the "Historical Information" section in the front matter of this volume.
- ⁶ See note 1 under "Germany" regarding Berlin (West) in the "Historical Information" section in the front matter of this volume.
- ⁷ The German Democratic Republic had acceded to the Convention on 9 September 1987 with the following reservation:

- 1. While acceding to the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations of 24 April 1963 the German Democratic Republic reserves itself the right, in accordance with Article 73 of the Convention, to conclude agreements with other States-parties in order to supplement and complete the provisions as regards bilateral relations. This concerns, in particular, the status, privileges and immunities of independent consular missions and their members as well as the consular tasks.
- 2. The German Democratic Republic holds the opinion that the provisions of Articles 74 and 76 of the Convention are in contradiction to the principle according to which all states that are guided in their policy by the purposes and principles of the United Nations Charter have the right to accede to conventions affecting the interests of all states.

See also note 2 under "Germany" in the "Historical Information" section in the front matter of this volume.

- ⁸ See note 1 under "Montenegro" in the "Historical Information" section in the front matter of this volume.
- 9 For the Kingdom in Europe and the Netherlands Antilles. See also notes 1 and 2 under "Netherlands" regarding Aruba/Netherlands Antilles in the "Historical Information" section in the front matter of this volume.
- $^{10}\,$ See note 1 under "New Zealand" regarding Tokelau in the "Historical Information" section in the front matter of this volume.
- On 16 March 1994, the Secretary-General received from the Government of Greece the following communication:
- "Accession of the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia to the Convention on Consular Relations of 1963 does not imply its recognition on behalf of the Hellenic Republic."

See also note 1 under "Greece" in the "Historical Information" section in the front matter of this volume.

¹² In a communication accompanying the notification of succession, the Government of Tuvalu declared that it had decided not to succeed to the Optional Protocol to the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations concerning the Compulsory Settlement of Disputes, done at Vienna on 18 April 1961, and that pursuant to Tuvalu's declaration, dated 19 December 1978, regarding treaties applied before independence, the application of the Optional Protocol to Tuvalu should be regarded as terminated as at 1 September 1982.

- 13 In respect of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, the Associated States (Antigua, Dominica, Grenada, St. Christopher-Nevis-Anguilla, St. Lucia and St. Vincent) and territories under the territorial sovereignty of the United Kingdom, as well as the British Solomon Islands Protectorate (See, http://treaties.un.org/doc/Publication/CN/1982/CN.36.1982-Eng.pdf .TREATIES-1 of 18 February 1982).
- ¹⁴ The instrument of ratification does not maintain the reservations made on behalf of the Government of Venezuela upon signature of the Convention. On depositing the said instrument, the Permanent Representative of Venezuela to the United Nations confirmed that those reservations should be considered as withdrawn. For the text of the reservations in question, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 596, p. 452.
- ¹⁵ The Republic of Viet Nam had acceded to the Convention on 10 May 1973. See also note 1 under "Viet Nam" in the "Historical Information" section in the front matter of this volume.
- The formality was effected by the Yemen Arab Republic. See also note 1 under "Yemen" in the "Historical Information" section in the front matter of this volume.
- ¹⁷ In a notification received on 18 January 1980, the Government of Egypt informed the Secretary-General that it had decided to withdraw the reservation under paragraph 1 which related to Israel. The notification indicates 25 January 1980 as the effective date of the withdrawal. For the text of that reservation, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 596, p. 456.
- ¹⁸ In a communication received on 16 March 1966, the Government of Israel declared that it "has noted the political character of paragraph 1 of the declaration made by the Government of the United Arab Republic [see also note 1 under "United Arab Republic" ("Egypt" and "Syria") in the "Historical Information" section in the front matter of this volume and note 13 in this chapter]. In the view of the Government of Israel, the Convention and Protocol are not the proper place for making such political pronouncements. The Government of Israel will, in so far as concerns the substance of the matter, adopt towards the Government of the United Arab Republic an attitude of complete reciprocity."

Identical communications, in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, have been received by the Secretary-General from the Government of Israel on 16 March 1970 in respect of the declaration made upon accession by Iraq; on 12 May 1977 in respect of the declaration made upon accession by the United Arab Emirates; on 11 May 1979 in respect of the declaration made upon accession by the Syrian Arab Republic; on 1 September 1987 in respect of the reservation made upon accession by Yemen; and on 29 November 1989 in respect of the reservation made by Saudi Arabia upon accession.

¹⁹ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on

4 April 1977, the Government of Morocco declared that 'the reservation concerning Israel ... constituted a declaration of general policy which did not affect the legal effects of the provisions of the said Convention as far as their application in respect of the Kingdom of Morocco was concerned'.

In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 12 May 1977 the Government of Israel made the following declaration:

"The instrument deposited by the Government of Morocco contains a statement of a political character in respect to Israel. In the view of the Government of Israel, this is not the proper place for making such political pronouncements which are, moreover, in flagrant contradiction to the principles, objects and purposes of the Organization. That pronouncement by the Government of Morocco cannot in any way affect whatever obligations are binding upon Morocco under general international law or under particular treaties.

"The Government of Israel will, insofar as concerns the substance of the matter, adopt towards the Government of Morocco an attitude of complete reciprocity."

²⁰ In regard to the reservations made by Qatar upon accession, the Secretary-General received communications from the following States on the dates indicated hereinafter:

Finland (17 March 2000):

"The Government of Finland Notes that the inviolability of the official correspondence between the Sending State and the consular post can be considered one of the main objects of the Convention. As Qatar reserves the right to open a consular bag without a prior consent by the Sending State, it is the view of the Government of Finland that the above-mentioned reservation to Article 35 is in clear contradiction with the object and purpose of the Convention.

According to the reservation to Article 46, para. 1, Qatar reserves the right to subject those consular employees who are engaged in administrative tasks or the members of their families to registration of aliens and residence permits. Para. 2 of Article 46 contains an exhaustive list of persons who are not exempt from the requirement of registration of aliens and residence permits. Given that the consular employees who are engaged in administrative tasks or the members of their families are covered by Article 46 para. 1, and as they are not included in the list of para. 2 of the same article, it is the opinion of the Government of Finland that the reservation is not in conformity with Article 46, nor with the object and purpose of the Convention.

The Government of Finland therefore objects to the reservation made by the Government of Qatar to the said Convention. This objection does not preclude the entry into force of the Convention between Qatar and Finland. The Convention will thus become operative between the two states without Qatar benefitting from the reservation".

Netherlands (17 July 2000):

"The Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands objects to the reservation made by the Government of Qatar in relation to article 35, paragraph 3, of the said Convention.

The Government of the Kingdome Netherlands Notes that the inviolability of the consular bag constitutes an important element of the Convention and any reservation intended to allow a receiving State to open the consular bag without the approval of the sending State is not only in contradiction with the very language of article 35, paragraph 3, of the Convention but also with customary international law.

Furthermore, the Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands objects to the reservation made by the Government of Qatar in relation to Article 46, paragraph 1, of the said Convention.

The Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands Notes that Article 46, paragraph 2, contains an exhaustive list of persons who are not exempt from the requirement of registration of aliens and residence permits. Given that the consular employees who are engaged in administrative tasks or the members of their families are covered by Article 46, paragraph 1, and are not included in the aforesaid list, the reservation concerning article 46, paragraph 1, is not in conformity with paragraph 2 of the same article, nor with the object and purpose of the Convention.

The Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands therefore objects to the aforesaid reservations made by the Government of Qatar. These objections shall not preclude the entry into force of the Convention between the Kingdom of the Netherlands and Qatar."

21 In regard to the objection made by the Government of Netherlands 5 December 1986 to the reservation made by the Yemen Arab Repuiblic, the Secretary-General received, on 28 May 1987, from the Government of Yemen the following communication:

[The Government of Yemen] should like to make clear in this connection that it was our country's intention in making that reservation that the expression "family of a member of the consular post" should, for the purposes of enjoyment of the privileges and immunities specified in the Convention, be understood to mean the member of the consular post, his spouse and minor children only.

[The Government of Yemen] should like to make it clear that this reservation is not intended to exclude the husbands of female members of the consular posts, as was suggested in the Netherlands interpretation, since it is natural that husbands should in such cases enjoy the same privileges and immunities.