what is international law?
I. The body of law that governs the legal relations between or among sovereign States or nations.
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II. The set of rules generally regarded and accepted as binding in relations between States and between nations.

III. The body of rules established by custom or treaty and recognized by nations as binding in their relations with one another.

IV. The rules acknowledged by the general body of civilised independent States to be binding upon them in their mutual relations.

V. The rules and principles of general application dealing with the conduct of States and of international organizations and with their relations inter se, as well as with some of their relations with persons, whether natural or juridical.

VI. The body of legal rules governing interaction between sovereign States (Public International Law) and the rights and duties of the citizens of sovereign States towards the citizens of other sovereign states (Private International Law).
VII. The body of law, which is composed for its greater part of the principles and rules of conduct which States feel themselves bound to observe, and therefore, do commonly observe in their relations with each other, and which includes also:

a) the rules of law relating to the functioning of international institution or organisations, their relations with each other, and their relations with States and individuals; as well as

a) certain rules of law relating to individuals and non-State entities are the concern of the international community
international community
international community

- nature of international legal subjects
- lack of central authority
- overriding role of effectiveness
- collective responsibility
- need for translation into national legislation
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1. REPUTATION –

2. RECIPROCITY –

3. RETALIATION -
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THE THREE RS COMPLIANCE’S PRINCIPLE

1. **REPUTATION** – judgement about a State’s past behaviour which is used to predict its future behaviour

States, like individuals care about their reputation and thus perform their international obligations and accept the enforcement of judgments against them in order to appear as reliable partners.

2. **RECIPROCITY** – actions taken by a State against another State to respond to a violation by the latter State of an agreement, but without the intent of punishing the offending State.

The victim State withdraws its own compliance with the relevant agreement because there is no benefit for the victim State to comply with it (does not apply to e.g. human rights treaties).

3. **RETLATION** - actions taken by a State to punish the offending State.

E.g. financial sanctions.
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„international law is law improperly so called”
- John Austin, 1832

1. international law is so weak and helpless that it can be neglected without no consequences
2. international law is so vague that every political solution can be justified – sometimes only some cleverness is needed
3. international law does not provide possibilities to punish entities, which breached international rules
4. international law is not a law on which we can relay on - there is no centralized system of norms’ interpretation, assessment of theirs binding force and control concerning complance with the international rules
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NATIONAL LAW
• hierarchy (usually written in the Constitution)

INTERNATIONAL LAW
• no hierarchy of norms
• art. 38 of the Statute of International Court of Justice
• peremptory norms (*ius cogens* norms)
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- Parliament – creates rules of conduct for itself (bye-laws) as well as for other entities (e.g. individuals)
## International Law vs. National Law

**NORMS’ CREATOR**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NATIONAL LAW</th>
<th>INTERNATIONAL LAW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Parliament – creates rules of conduct for itself (bye-laws) as well as for other entities (e.g. individuals)</td>
<td>• always States (even when a law is of international organization’s origin)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• norms are biding only for those States which participated in the establishment process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• notion of acquiescence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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ENFORCEMENT

1. enforcement mechanisms of international law are not the same as those available within domestic legal systems because enforcement of international law depends on the will of many sovereign States

2. in most cases States obey international law but their compliance is not as highly publicised as is non-compliance

3. international rules are obeyed not only out of fear but because they are perceived to be right, just and appropriate
**NATIONAL LAW**

- division of powers: legislature, executive and judiciary
- enforcement of national law is centralized in a government authority
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### ENFORCEMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NATIONAL LAW</th>
<th>INTERNATIONAL LAW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• division of powers: legislature, executive and judiciary</td>
<td>• lack of classical division of powers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• enforcement of national law is centralized in a government authority</td>
<td>• decentralized enforcement of law e.g.:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>– <strong>the United Nations</strong> (by the actions of the Security Council; establishment of <em>ad hoc</em> International Criminal Tribunal for former Yugoslavia / Rwanda)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>– <strong>international judiciary</strong>: the International Court of Justice; the International Criminal Tribunal; the Court of Justice of the European Union</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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NATIONAL LAW

- the hypothesis
- the disposition
- the sanction

general rules on international responsibility
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INTERNATIONAL LAW

general rules on international responsibility
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